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Abstract

As to standard Dijkstra algorithm for planning transportation routing still exists low computing speed, inaccuracy issues, this paper proposes a Dijkstra algorithm which is based on data constraints and path impacts optimization of factors, firstly, pre-process all directed-node pairs in routing traffic network, and then in Dijkstra way for reverse expanding boundary nodes, which limits its path boundary, then mark the optimal node on path nodes and take “target block” as a unit for storage, so as to speed up algorithm’s running speed, at last, in order to improve the accuracy of traditional algorithm’s routing planning, add routing impact factors to the traditional algorithm, and weight optimize it. Simulation results show that, compared with the standard Dijkstra algorithm, the Dijkstra algorithm proposed in this paper is based on data constraints and routing impacts optimization of factors, its speed of operation is greatly shortened, routing planning accuracy is greatly increased, and it has an good robustness.
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1 Introduction

With rapid development of economy, energy and environmental issues have become a constraining bottleneck of China’s rapid economic development, energy conservation and emission reduction and low-carbon development will be the inevitable choice for China’s future development. Intelligent transportation system makes full use of transportation infrastructures, improves service quality, and enables efficiently use transportation facilities and energy, thus obtains huge social and economic benefits [1]. Vehicle navigation system is an important system that urgently demanded, and widely used in intelligent transportation systems, it is one of development directions in whole modernized highway traffic system. Optimal routing planning is a critical issue in-car navigation system [2]. In the case of departure and destination are determined, according to certain strategies, such as time-saving or shortest path, etc., and topology information in electronic maps to help vehicle drivers or dispatchers accurately to select an optimal driving path in current time, and will display on computer screen’s electronic map [3].

Static map searching algorithm is usually divided into blind searching algorithm and heuristic searching algorithm. The most classic blind searching algorithm is Dijkstra algorithm, it is very suitable in a weighted directed graph to obtain the shortest routing, but due to Dijkstra algorithm’ large searching range, the algorithm efficiency is relatively low, so it has been greatly restricted in practical applications [4]. With the improvement and development of classical graph theory and computer technology, innovation in data structures and algorithms aspects promotes the emerging of new shortest routing algorithm. Bellman proposed a function equation technology used in dynamic programming, combined with policy space’s approximate concept to obtain by combined a successive approximation method, which can solve manually or machine problems in reality [5]. Pape and others proposed Graph-Growth algorithm for the relative efficiency problem, it proved that using different data structures and implementation technique in the graph theory is effective [6].

Glover proposed a hybrid algorithm to solve routing planning problem, this algorithm has the feature of label setting and label correcting, and it has been proved by experiment that it possess features to overpass two algorithms, it’s called THRESH [7]. Hart.et al and other scholars proposed A * algorithm, A * algorithm is a heuristic search algorithm, which makes use of finding heuristic factors related to issues to speed up the searching process, thereby reduces the time for searching graphs [8]. Luo Guoqing used the ant colony algorithm realized a dynamic optimal routing searching, and compared the simulation results of ant colony algorithm and Dijkstra algorithm, the authors adopted BPR function model introduced by US Highway Administration to calculate dynamic time, then took one day hour traffic flow as the road traffic flow [9]. Chen Yan used the ant colony algorithm as the optimal routing selection algorithm and designed the corresponding routing modules; took road distance as road weights, and the shortest routing distance as the target [10]. Jing Ling used a genetic algorithm to solve the optimal routing, and took path length as weights value [11]. Wang Rongyan also adopted genetic algorithms as the optimal routing 
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selection algorithm, what’s different is that he constructed a dynamic path travel time function model to obtain road travel time, and took it as the road network weights value [12]. Yang Zhiyong, Zhao cold, Zhang Xingchen and other scholars studied the traveller routing choice model under a priori information, and the model studied the routing selection under prior information, not the traveller gets real-time information [13]. Zhang He, Yangzhao Sheng, Wang Wei and other scholars used the method of increasing load to ensure the optimal routing, and also used the predicted road traffic flow decomposed into K parts proportionally, each part calculates travel time by BPR function, and determined the shortest routing by Dijkstra algorithm [14]. G.Pang, K.Takahashi and other scholars on basis of fuzzy neural network method studied adaptive routing in dynamic routing selection system, through fuzzy neural network selection training driver’s routing selection, establishing driver routing selection function, the function is adaptive [15]. GAO Feng used simulation method to establish the modeling of driver’s routing choice behavior, this model is based on decision theory and Bias’s theory, the simulation results show that the decision theory and Bias theory are favourable for drivers’ dynamic routing selection behavior [16].

In view of existing shortcomings of traditional Dijkstra algorithm, this paper proposed an pre-treatment optimization strategy based on date constraints and weight optimization strategy based on routing impacts factors to improve traditional algorithm’s speed and accuracy in traffic routing planning.

2 The deficiency of Dijkstra algorithm

Dijkstra algorithm is a shortest routing algorithm based on greedy strategy; its main idea is to construct a tree path in accordance with the growth of tree routing length point by point method, thus obtained the shortest routing from the root node of the tree (namely, the starting point) to all other nodes.

Assuming set \( S \) storages shortest path that has been calculated; in the initial state, set \( S \) only have one source point \( v_0 \). After seek one shortest routing \((v_0, v_1, ..., v_k)\) , and then add \( v_k \) to the set \( S \) until all vertices have joined in \( S \).

Introduce an auxiliary vector \( d \), each component \( d_i \), represents the shortest routing length that can be found from the source point \( v_0 \) to other vertices \( v_i \). Its initial state:

\[
d_i = \min \{d_i \mid v_i \in V - v_0\}
\]

(1)

Then the next shortest routing (the terminal point is \( v_j \)), or \( (v_0, v_j) \), \( (v_0, v_1, v_j) \). In general, assuming \( S \) is the set of terminal point which has calculated shortest routing, then next shortest routing’s intermediate node’s length is:

\[
d_k = \min_i \{d_i \mid v_i \in V - S\}
\]

(2)

After obtained one shortest routing, added the terminal point \( v_k \) into the set \( S \), then all the other vertices \( v_j \in V - S \) will modify into \( d_i \). See equation (3).

\[
d_k = \min\{d_i, d_k + c(v_i, v_j)\}
\]

(3)

In equation, \( c(v_i, v_j) \) is weight value in arc \((v_i, v_j)\). The above algorithm will produce the shortest path that from source point to other vertexes. For the single vehicle routing planning, only need to compute a shortest routing from source point to destination, so it can simply modify the algorithm, namely, when the shortest routing is determination of target routing, and algorithm will terminate. According to the above principle, a weighted directed graph \( G = (V, E) \), which contains a vertex is the vertex set, contains arcs arc set, is from the arc, arc non negative weights, \( V \) is in the vertexes set which has \( n \) vertexes, \( E \) including \( m \) arc set, \((v, w)\) is the arc from \( v \) to \( v \) in \( E \), \( c(v, w) \) is the nonnegative weight of \((v, w)\), assuming \( s \) is the vertex in \( V \), \( t \) is vertex which can through \( S \) arrive the destination, then the shortest routing searching process which has the minimum arc weight value from \( s \) to \( t \) is as follows:

(1) Distributing \( k(v) \), \( d(v) \), \( p(v) \) to each vertex \( v \), in which \( k(v) \) is a Boolean variable indicates whether or not the vertex \( v \)’s shortest routing has been obtained; \( d(v) \) is the shortest routing length’s upper bound from \( s \) to \( v \) which is known, \( p(v) \) is \( v \)’s rear vertex pointer, and initializing them respectively:

\[
d(v) = \begin{cases} 0, & v = s \\ \infty, & v \neq s \end{cases}
\]

\[
k(v) = \text{false}
\]

(5)

\[
p(v) = \emptyset
\]

(6)

(2) Scanning \( k(v) = \text{false} \)’s vertices, from which selects a vertex \( v \) has a minimum routing length, then

\[
k(v) = \text{true}
\]

\[
d(v) = \min\{d(v) \mid k(v) = \text{false}, v \in V\}
\]

(8)

(3) Detecting each vertex \( v \) which \( k(w) = \text{false} \) and adjacent to vertex \( v \), if meets

\[
d(w) > d(v) + c(v, w)
\]

(9)

Then,

\[
d(w) = d(v) + c(v, w), \ p(w) = v
\]

(10)

(4) Repeating the scanning operations (2) and (3), until \( k(t) = \text{true} \).

(5) From \( t \) to traverse the rear vertex pointer \( p \) source point \( s \), the shortest solution will be obtained.

\[
P_{st} = \{v_0, v_1, ..., v_k\} \quad i = 0, 1, ..., k - 1.
\]
As can be seen from the algorithm processes, the algorithm has the following deficiencies:

(1) In classical Dijkstra algorithm, it needs to re-compare and re-modify all the nodes in \( S \) in searching for one point, which wasted a lot of time. For massive amount of data query, the waiting time makes it difficult to endure;

(2) The Dijkstra algorithm must be completely through every point.

(3) This method of adjacency matrix storage space, also wastes a lot of space in routing searching, because it must record all the nodes’ information.

3 The improvement of Dijkstra algorithm

3.1 PRETREATMENT OPTIMIZATION BASED ON DATA CONSTRAINT

To reduce the number of nodes’ expanding, it pre-treats all directed node pairs in the traffic routing network, and increases the optimal mark property, which records whether directed node pairs arrived at all blocks’ optimal way. Directed node pairs’ optimal marks are shown in Figure 1.
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As shown in figure 1, \( g, q \) are any two connected nodes in network, the positive number is \( s \), the closed graph composed of black thick lines is the block in traffic routing network, light-colored thick line indicates the optimal routing from node \( g \) to each block. If the node \( q \) is on the optimal routing of which node \( g \) to each block, then the optimal node pair’ optimal mark is true.

Calculate the optimal mark that from \( s \) to all blocks, its node to \( g \) corresponding optimal node pairs’ set is \( F_{g} \).

\[
f_{k,j}^{s} = \begin{cases} 1, & \text{if } s \in P_{g,k} \\ 0, & \text{else} \end{cases}
\]  

In equation (12), 1 indicates in optimal routing of which from node \( s \) to block \( B \), or not.

3.1.1 The boundary nodes’ restrictions

Assuming \( f_{j} \) is the boundary node of block \( B \), \( N(B, k) \) is the number of boundary nodes, the optimal routing from node \( g \) to block \( B \) can be represented the optimal routing of which from this mode to all nodes in the blocks.

Block nodes are divided into boundary nodes and internal nodes, the node \( g \) to block internal node’s optimal routing must passing through boundary nodes, so the optimal routing which node \( g \) to block can be represented as node \( g \) to all boundary nodes’ optimal routing. The optimal routing set \( P_{g,k} \) of which from node \( g \) to boundary nodes is shown in Equation (13).

\[
P_{g,k} = \{ P_{g,k,j} \} (j = 1, 2, ..., N(B, k))
\]  

In equation (13), \( P_{g,k,j} \) is number \( j \)’s optimal routing from node \( g \) to block, \( P_{g,k,j} \) is the node pairs serial number set, see equation (14).

\[
P_{g,k,j} = \{ s_{j} \}
\]  

Then the calculation of optimal mark \( f_{k,j}^{s} \) in equation (12) can be represented as equation (15).

\[
f_{k,j}^{s} = \begin{cases} 1, & \text{if } s \in P_{g,k} \\ 0, & \text{else} \end{cases}
\]  

Assuming that there has \( m \) nodes in traffic routing network, each node has \( k \) positive connections, then the calculation of directed nodes in network to all the blocks’ optimal marks needs \( m \times k \) times Dijkstra expansion, the time required is too long the expansion method, so that this expansion method’ time is too long, here we take block as the basic processing unit, adopts reverse Dijkstra expansion to require nodes’ optimal mark, it only needs expand \( n \) times to obtain all nodes’ optimal mark.

Node \( t_{j} \) on the basis of Dijkstra method to process reverse expansion, in expansion procession, it records each expanding node’s expansion attribute \( q \), as shown in equation (16).

\[
q = \{ q_{s}, q_{g}, q_{e} \}
\]  

In equation (16), \( q_{s} \) is the block serial number of expansion node \( q \), \( q_{e} \) is node \( q \)’s ID number; \( q_{e} \) is the minimum cost from node to node \( t_{j} \).

3.1.2 Optimal node’s mark optimization

When all nodes in traffic network are expanded, then the node \( t_{j} \)’s reverse expansion will stop. The node \( g \) has multiple rear connected nodes; the equation (17) determines whether the node pair \( gq \) is inevitable routing to reach to the target node \( t_{j} \). If satisfies equation (17), it
means that directed connection $s_i$ is on the optimal routing to node $t_j$, then the objective node’s optimal mark $f^{(f)}_{i,j}$ which from directed $s_i$ to boundary node $t_j$. See equation (17).

$$f^{(f)}_{i,j} = \begin{cases} \begin{array}{ll} g_d - d_q = w(g,q) & \text{if } g \to q \text{ in } (g,q) \\ 0, g_d - d_q > w(g,q) & \end{array} \end{cases}$$

(17)

$w(g,q)$ is the cost from node $g$ to node $q$. The optimal mark $f_{i,j}$ from directed node $gq$ to block $B_k$ is shown in equation (18).

$$f^{(r)}_{i,j} = f^{(f)}_{i,j} \cup \cdots \cup f^{(f)}_{i,j} \cup \cdots \cup f^{(f)}_{i,j}(B_k, i)$$

(18)

As mentioned above, through reverse breadth-expansion of boundary node in target block $B_k$ can obtain the optimal mark of each section to this block; similarly, through positive expansion can obtain the reverse optimal mark of which each directed node to this block. The optimal routing mark $f^{(r)}_{i,j,i}$ which from directed node pairs $gq$ to target node $t_j$, and the reverse optimal road signs $f^{(r)}_{i,j}$ of which from node to target block $B_k$, see equation (19) and (20).

$$f^{(r)}_{i,j,i} = \begin{cases} \begin{array}{ll} g_d - d_q = w(g,q) & \text{if } g \to q \text{ in } (g,q) \\ 0, g_d - d_q > w(g,q) & \end{array} \end{cases}$$

(19)

$$f^{(r)}_{i,j} = f^{(r)}_{i,j,i} \cup \cdots \cup f^{(r)}_{i,j,i} \cup \cdots \cup f^{(r)}_{i,j}(B_k, i)$$

(20)

These methods can be calculated the optimal mark $f^{(r)}_{i,j}$ and $f^{(f)}_{i,j}$ which from directed node to any target blocks, if the optimal mark takes directed node as unite for storage, then in the process of routing searching, when we expand to one node it will read files, which causing I/O time-consuming increased, and the efficiency rate of data’s reading is not high.

In order to solve the above problem, here we will storage the optimal mark as “target block”, it only exist two blocks in the process of planning: starting block and terminal block, then we only need to read one optimal routing mark, thereby reducing reading time.

All blocks’ optimal marks set $F$ in traffic routing network is shown as equation (21).

$$F = \{f_k \mid k = 1, 2, \ldots, N(B)\}$$

(21)

In equation (21), $f_k$ is $k$’s optimal mark set, including forward optimal mark set $f^{(f)}_k$ and reverse optimal mark set $f^{(r)}_k$, see equation (22).

$$f_k = \{f^{(f)}_k, f^{(r)}_k\}$$

(22)

Forward optimal mark set $f^{(f)}_k$ and reverse optimal mark set $f^{(r)}_k$ are respectively shown in equation (23) and (24).

$$f^{(f)}_k = \{f^{(f)}_{k,i}, i = 1, 2, \ldots, N(s)\}$$

(23)

$$f^{(r)}_k = \{f^{(r)}_{k,i}, i = 1, 2, \ldots, N(s)\}$$

(24)

$N(s)$ is the number of all directed node pairs in traffic routing network. The storage way of the optimal routing set $F$ is for random. In random reading model which all directed nodes – blocks, the size of block optimal mark $f_k$ is fixed, it uses sequential storage, block optimal mark set $f_k$’s internal nodes optimal marks $f^{(f)}_{k,i}$ and $f^{(r)}_{k,i}$ also use the same way for storage. Which can achieve routing mark’s random positioning and reading, for the calculation of the optimal routing marks, make use of a single row way for storage, namely, when node optimal mark $f^{(f)}_{k,i}$ or $f^{(r)}_{k,i}$ is “true”, then the calculation of this node pair’s optimal mark.

3.2 WEIGHT OPTIMIZATION BASED ON ROUTING IMPACTS FACTORS

In a given map, some routing have been described and according it to find the optimal routings that already exist, the traditional Dijkstra algorithm is usually according to equation (3) to modify the distance which the source node $v$ passes through $k$ but not exists in node $j$ of $s$.

Firstly, assuming that routing effected factor is $\Gamma(v,j)$, the algorithm’s specific process is to add routing effected factors of $d_{ij}$ and $d_{ik} + c(v_i,v_j)$ to equation (3). See equation (3).

$$d_k = \min\{d_j + (\Gamma(v,j)), d_k + c(v_i,v_j) + \Gamma(v,k,j)\}$$

(25)

In the course of the iteration, the number of routing edges varies with the changes of routing, so according to equation (25) to select the routing, when records $d_k$’s value, we do not add the routing affected factors. Because in an iterative process, the routing is constantly changing, so it is necessary to record the edges number. How to solve the problem of recording the edges number when the current node passes through the shortest routing, we can set up an $N[]$ array in improved algorithm, whose role is to store each iteration’s number of edges, and in each iteration to solve weights cumulative sum which can add routing effected factors.

See equation (26).

$$d_k = \min\{d_j + \Gamma(N[j]), d_k + c(v_i,v_j) + \Gamma(N[k]+1)\}$$

(26)
When the routing changes, we modify \( N[j] \) value:
\[
N[j] = N[k] + 1
\]  
(27)

Thus the current optimal routing passing edges’ number, for which from source node to other nodes can through \( N[] \) to record.

Then, assuming that \( w \) has a node, and considering from \( m \) to \( n \)’s optimal routing problem, in the original routing, the optimal routing from \( m \) to \( n \) must go through node \( k \), under the condition that it passes through \( k \), the distance from \( m \) to \( n \) is much higher than the distance that passing through \( w \), to the elapsed down to the distance. The traditional Dijkstra algorithm usually according to the equation (10) to modify the distance from source node \( m \) to node \( n \).

According to the same principle, first assuming that routing effected factor is \( \Gamma() \), and algorithm’s specific implementation steps is adding \( d_k + c(v_s, v_r) \) and \( d_1 + c(v_r, v_s) \)’s routing effected factors to equation (10). See equation (28).
\[
d_k = \min\{d_k + c(v_s, v_r) + \Gamma(m, k, n), d_w + c(v_w, v_r) + \Gamma(m, w, n)\}
\]  
(28)

The edging number which from source node to the other nodes can use \( N[] \) to correctly record, shown as equation (26) ~ (27).

4 Algorithm performance simulation

In order to verify the effectiveness of the improved algorithm which proposed in this paper, we will have the simulation experiments. Firstly, using different nodes’ traffic network tests algorithm’s computing speed, the simulation results are as follows:

As we can see from the figure, the improved Dijkstra algorithm which proposed in this paper, its operation speed is much faster than the traditional Dijkstra algorithm. Then test shortest routing’s accuracy on different nodes’ traffic routing, the accuracy statistics are as follows:

![Figure 3](image)

**FIGURE 3** Different path planning algorithm node accuracy

As can be seen from the above simulation results, compared to traditional Dijkstra algorithm, Dijkstra algorithm based on data constraints and routing effected factors which proposed in this paper has a higher speed and accuracy in traffic routing planning.

5 Conclusions

However, road congestion is more and more serious along with the growth of vehicles, the optimal routing planned by the existing routing planning system cannot meet people’s needs, people hope it will be a system that can consider a variety of constraint information’s routing planning system, which can consider of current traffic conditions, rather than static routing alone. This paper pre-treated and optimized the traditional Dijkstra algorithm, and obtained an improved traffic routing planning model, the simulation results show that this model owns a faster operation speed and a higher accuracy, and also owns a good robustness.
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