# Sport service evaluation of urban community based on fuzzy comprehensive evaluation

## Zhengmei Lin<sup>1\*</sup>, Jiwei Yao<sup>2</sup>

<sup>1</sup> Department of Physical Education and Sport Science, Fuqing Branch of Fujian Normal University, Fuqing 350300, Fujian, China

<sup>2</sup>Physical Education Institute, Hunan University of Science and Technology, Xiangtan 411201, Hunan, China

Received 1 June 2014, www.cmnt.lv

#### Abstract

Fuzzy comprehensive evaluation refers to a comprehensive assessment method based on fuzzy mathematics through quantifying the factors difficult to quantify with obscure boundaries and using the principle of fuzzy relation synthetic. Fuzzy comprehensive evaluation can comprehensively evaluate the object system involving fuzzy factors and is widely applied in the fields of economy and society. This paper takes new public service theory as the research perspective; designs evaluation index system which can comprehensively reflect sport development level of urban community applies fuzzy comprehensive evaluation to evaluate sport development level of urban community and provides the evaluation process. The evaluation results show leadership organization and team construction of the urban community fail to develop to certain level and that the health index of community residents is slightly low. This paper presents suggestions to improve this situation.

Keywords: Fuzzy Mathematics, Fuzzy Comprehensive Evaluation, Evaluation Index System, Urban Community, Sport Service

#### **1** Introduction

Fuzzy comprehensive evaluation (FCE) was first put forward by an American L.A. Sade in 1965 [1]. It is a method to describe fuzzy mathematics written in Fuzzy Sets. This method declared the birth of fuzzy mathematics. Since then, fuzzy phenomena have entered the field of human scientific research [2]. FCE is an important aspect of fuzzy mathematics applied in natural science field and social science field. Evaluation objects of comprehensive evaluation problem are decided by factors in multiple aspects, so it is required to evaluate every factor [3]. With regard to evaluation of sport development level of urban community, the influencing factors are very complex and fuzzy. Thus, fuzzy means is used to deal with fuzzy problems, which will make the evaluation results truer and more rational [4].

Community sport as the main base for national fitness and an important constituent part of community building is highly valued by the government [5]. However, it is found in practice real sport service system of urban community has not been established currently [6]. Various mass physical fitness organizations, grass-root fitness clubs, fitness stations and grass-root sport associations as well as the network formed on this basis are not perfect [7]. The development is unstable and disorderly [8]. How to make the input in community sport more scientific and rational, to make community sport resources utilized more fully and effectively, to make organizational measure of community sport more beneficial and to make community sport produce greater social benefits requires scientific evaluation of community sport resources [9].

One thing often needs multiple indexes to depict its nature and characteristics. Besides, the people's evaluations for one thing are not simply good or bad, but they adopt fuzzy language to evaluate it with different degrees [10]. Since the relation of evaluation levels are fuzzy without absolutely explicit dividing line, so the evaluation is fuzzy [11]. Obviously, for this fuzzy evaluation problem, classical evaluation method is not rational. But the application of fuzzy mathematics for comprehensive judgment will gain better practical effects. So, this paper utilizes FCE method to evaluate community sport development level of a city on the basis of analysing the factors influencing community sport development level.

#### 2 Public service level index system of community sport

With the advancement in networking and multimedia technologies enables the distribution and sharing of multimedia content widely [12]. In the meantime, piracy becomes increasingly rampant as the customers can easily duplicate and redistribute the received multimedia content to a large audience [13].

The hierarchy, regional nature, overall comprehensiveness and trend of public service of community sport decide public service level index system of community sport has the following characteristics [14]:

(1) Universality of evaluation content. There are many factors influencing public services of community sport, including two aspects: "hardware" and "software". Since the contents involved are many, index system design,

<sup>\*</sup> *Corresponding author* e-mail: zhengmei1111@yeah.net

#### COMPUTER MODELLING & NEW TECHNOLOGIES 2014 18(11) 600-604

standard formulation and method selection should follow the principle of combining subjectivity and objectivity, nature determination and quantification as well as selfevaluation and expert evaluation.

 
 TABLE 1
 Opinions of community residents on national fitness paths and equipment

|   | Able<br>to exercise | Interesting | Good shape | Useless | Danger |
|---|---------------------|-------------|------------|---------|--------|
| n | 115                 | 27          | 28         | 20      | 10     |
| % | 57.5                | 13.5        | 14.0       | 10.0    | 5.0    |

(2) Regional correlation. Public service level of community sport is also restricted by social economic development level of the community. Construction environment of index system should start from practical situations and realistic demand, ensure combination of scientificalness and practical applicability and meanwhile consider the imbalance of regional development. So, different things should not be treated as the same simply.

TABLE 2 Construction situations of fitness centers of 6 cities

|                                 | City A | City B | City C | City D | City E | City F |
|---------------------------------|--------|--------|--------|--------|--------|--------|
| Fitness centers                 | 10     | 8      | 7      | 10     | 17     | 4      |
| Fitness sites                   | 55     | 40     | 61     | 54     | 66     | 18     |
| Communities                     | 51     | 65     | 45     | 66     | 96     | 6      |
| Proportion<br>(fitness centers) | 1.7    | 1.0    | 1.8    | 1.3    | 1.2    | 5.0    |

(3) Objective consistency. Consistency contains the maiming at two levels. At the first level, the objective to establish evaluation index system should be consistent with the demands of community members. The establishment of system index is to better serve community residents for physical fitness. So, the system should be able to reflect the common desire of the community residents and be oriented to their demands. At the second level, evaluation objective and construction objective should be consistent.

Overall structure of public service indexes of community sport refers to the core problem of index system design. During construction of this system, all indexes of public services of community sport should form an organic whole and coordinate mutually. There are many factors influencing public service of community sport. These factors involve various aspects. The influences of some indexes are very small and can be neglected. There are many evaluation indexes for sport development level of urban community, including qualitative index, quantitative index, macroscopic index and microcosmic index. In comprehensive evaluation, corresponding indexes are often selected from these for permutation and combination.

#### **3 FCE of sport development level of urban community**

FCE can comprehensively evaluate the object system involving fuzzy factors and is widely applied in economic and social fields [15]. FCE has strong subjectivity in

#### Lin Zhengmei, Yao Jiwei

evaluation and cannot solve the problem of repeated evaluation information caused by evaluation indexes. In addition, there is no systematic method to confirm membership function [16]. Especially when faced with a complex system, since there are many factors in need of consideration; it is very difficult to confirm weight allocation of each factor when FCE is applied. Meanwhile, FCE applies normalization handling method. After weight allocation is confirmed, it is still necessary to comprehensively evaluate Sade operator for the index. Thus, the results cannot meet the due value. This paper based on the above considerations optimizes FCE and adopts multi-level FCE for multi-level processing of weight allocation. They are classified into several levels according to factors or indexes. Firstly, low-level factors are comprehensively evaluated; the evaluation results are used for higher-level comprehensive evaluation. Single factor evaluation of each level is multifactor comprehensive hiragana at lower-level. So, the evaluation is carried out from low level to high level one by one. Moreover, to consider from different perspectives, we can first classify the personnel participating in judging. In line with the steps of FCE, fuzzy statistics matrix of each type of judging personnel on the evaluation object is provided to calculate the judging results of each type of judging personnel on the evaluation object. The influences of the judges with different perspectives are considered through "quadric weighting". The steps for construction of multilevel FCE model are shown in Fig.1:

(1) To confirm multilevel factor set according to original data of the evaluation object. The factor set includes all indexes used to evaluate the object. The factor set is expressed through collective concept. The matrix form of the collection is as follows:

$$U = (U_{1}, U_{2}, \dots, U_{n}) = \begin{pmatrix} (u_{11}, u_{12}, \dots, u_{1m}) \\ \vdots \\ (u_{n1}, u_{n2}, \dots, u_{nm}) \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} (u_{111}, u_{112}, \dots, u_{1ni}) \\ \vdots \\ (u_{1m1}, u_{1m2}, \dots, u_{1mi}) \end{pmatrix} \\ \begin{pmatrix} (i) \\ (u_{n11}, u_{n12}, \dots, u_{nni}) \\ \vdots \\ (u_{nm1}, u_{nm2}, \dots, u_{nmi}) \end{pmatrix} \end{pmatrix}, (1)$$

where, U is factor set; n is the number of the first-level factors; each first-level indexes can be divided into  $U_i = (u_{i1}, u_{i2}, \dots, u_{im})$  second-level sub-indexes; m is the number of second-level indexes. By parity of reasoning, all lower-level I can be reasoned out.

(2) To catty out weight assignment for each index in the above index sets and the weight sets gained are as follows:

$$W = (W_{1}, W_{2}, \dots, W_{n}) = \begin{pmatrix} (w_{11}, w_{12}, \dots, w_{1m}) \\ (w_{21}, w_{22}, \dots, w_{2m}) \\ \vdots \\ (w_{n1}, w_{n2}, \dots, w_{nm}) \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} (w_{111}, w_{112}, \dots, w_{11i}) \\ \vdots \\ (w_{1m1}, w_{1m2}, \dots, w_{1mi}) \\ \vdots \\ (w_{n11}, w_{n12}, \dots, w_{nni}) \\ \vdots \\ (w_{nm1}, w_{nm2}, \dots, w_{nmi}) \end{pmatrix} , (2)$$

where,  $W = (W_1, W_2, \dots, W_n)$  is the weight of the firstlevel index  $U = (U_1, U_2, \dots, U_n)$ ;  $W = (w_{i1}, w_{i2}, \dots, w_{im})$  is the weight of second-level index  $U_i = (u_{i1}, u_{i2}, \dots, u_{im})$ relative to the first-level index  $U = (U_1, U_2, \dots, U_n)$ .

(3) To conduct level evaluation for all indexes. Usually,  $V = (v_1, v_2, \dots, v_k)$  is expressed as the evaluation level of each index, where k is the number of evaluation level. In FCE, each level evaluation is classified into five levels {very good, good, general, bad, very bad}, and it is expressed in figure is  $V = \{5,4,3,2,1\}$ 

(4) After the above work is finished, confirm fuzzy mapping relationship between evaluation index and evaluation set in the index sets. The fuzzy mapping matrix is as follows:

$$W_{j}^{carbon} = (\alpha_{1} + \alpha_{2} + \alpha_{3})\sigma\gamma_{j}q_{j}^{s} = M\gamma_{j}q_{j}^{s}, \quad (3)$$

where, n is the number of the first-level indexes;  $R_i$  is fuzzy mapping if i indexes in the first-level indexes  $(i = 1, 2, 3, \dots, n); k_i$  is the number of the last-level indexes corresponding to every first-level indexes;  $r_{ij}$  means membership degree of last indexes to evaluation set V.

(5) Finally, implement matrix operations for matrix fuzzy relation and weight set B = RW. Then, fuzzy evaluation results are gained.

FCE is a very effective multi-factor decision-making method to comprehensively evaluate the things influenced by multiple factors. Evaluation of public services of community sport often involves multiple factors or indexes. At this moment, it is required to evaluate the thing according to multiple factors, instead of single factor. This paper establishes FCE model for public services of community sport through referring to pertinent literatures.

#### **4** Application example

This paper takes urban community in Hunan province for example and conducts empirical analysis of urban community in Hunan province. In accordance with characteristics of urban community in Hunan province, software and hardware conditions of existing sport, an evaluation system including three second-level indexes (infrastructure and service, resident participation degree and sport benefit) and 11 third-level indexes under the basic principle of SERVQUAL. The indexes are shown in Table 3.

Lin Zhengmei, Yao Jiwei

| TABLE 3 Evaluati                | on index system |                                 |
|---------------------------------|-----------------|---------------------------------|
| Level 1 index                   | Level 2 index   | Level 3 index                   |
|                                 |                 | Management rules                |
|                                 | Infrastructure  | Sport service team              |
|                                 | and service     | Sport field                     |
|                                 |                 | Sport funds                     |
|                                 |                 | Form of sport activities        |
| Emont contrios lorrol           | Resident        | Resident participation time     |
| Sport service level<br>of urban | participation   | Sport consumption               |
|                                 | degree          | expenditure                     |
| community                       |                 | Large fitness activity          |
|                                 |                 | Participation in superior sport |
|                                 |                 | activity team                   |
|                                 | Sport benefit   | Physical fitness measurement    |
|                                 | -               | index                           |
|                                 |                 | Results of athletic contest     |

Confirm the weight of each index, evaluation level and membership degree through analytic hierarchy process, as shown in Table 4.

TABLE 4 Evaluation index weight of urban community sport

| Second-level |        | Third | l-level | Evaluation index and membership degree |      |     |     |      |  |  |  |
|--------------|--------|-------|---------|--|------|-----|-----|------|--|--|--|
| Index        | Weight | Index | Weight  | V1                                     | V2   | V3  | V4  | V5   |  |  |  |
|              |        | U11   | 0.25    | 0.1                                    | 0.25 | 0.3 | 0.2 | 0.15 |  |  |  |
|              |        | U12   | 0.3     | 0.2                                    | 0.2  | 0.1 | 0.3 | 0.2  |  |  |  |
| U1           | 0.35   | U13   | 0.25    | 0.3                                    | 0.2  | 0.4 | 0.1 | 0    |  |  |  |
|              |        | U14   | 0.2     | 0                                      | 0.3  | 0.2 | 0   | 0.5  |  |  |  |
|              |        | U21   | 0.3     | 0.4                                    | 0    | 0.1 | 0.3 | 0.2  |  |  |  |
|              |        | U22   | 0.2     | 0.2                                    | 0.4  | 0.1 | 0.1 | 0.2  |  |  |  |
| U2           | 0.35   | U23   | 0.25    | 0                                      | 0.4  | 0.2 | 0.3 | 0.1  |  |  |  |
|              |        | U24   | 0.25    | 0.3                                    | 0.2  | 0.2 | 0.2 | 0.1  |  |  |  |
|              |        | U31   | 0.3     | 0.3                                    | 0.1  | 0.1 | 0.3 | 0.2  |  |  |  |
| U3           | 0.3    | U32   | 0.4     | 0.2                                    | 0.2  | 0.4 | 0.1 | 0.1  |  |  |  |
|              |        | U33   | 0.3     | 0.1                                    | 0.1  | 0.3 | 0.3 | 0.2  |  |  |  |

The result matrix of each step is gained according to the process of FCE as follows:

1) Index weight at each level

$$W = (W_1, W_2, \dots, W_n) = (0.35, 0.35, 0.3) = \begin{pmatrix} (w_{11}, w_{12}, w_{13}, w_{14}) \\ (w_{21}, w_{22}, w_{23}, w_{24}) \\ (w_{31}, w_{32}, w_{33}) \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} (0.25, 0.3, 0.25, 0.2) \\ (0.3, 0.2, 0.25, 0.25) \\ (0.3, 0.4, 0.3) \end{pmatrix}$$

2) Membership degree and evaluation matrix of the third-level index

|         | 0.1 | 0.25 | 0.3 | 0.2 | 0.15 |
|---------|-----|------|-----|-----|------|
| $R_1 =$ | 0.2 | 0.2  | 0.1 | 0.3 | 0.2  |
|         | 0.3 | 0.2  | 0.4 | 0.1 | 0    |
|         | 0   | 0.3  | 0.2 | 0   | 0.5  |
| $R_2 =$ | 0.4 | 0    | 0.1 | 0.3 | 0.2  |
|         | 0.2 | 0.4  | 0.1 | 0.1 | 0.2  |
|         | 0   | 0.4  | 0.2 | 0.3 | 0.1  |
|         | 1   |      |     |     |      |

COMPUTER MODELLING & NEW TECHNOLOGIES 2014 18(11) 600-604

|           | 0.3        | 0.1 | 0.1 | 0.3 | 0.2               |
|-----------|------------|-----|-----|-----|-------------------|
| $R_{3} =$ | 0.3<br>0.2 | 0.2 | 0.4 | 0.1 | 0.2<br>0.1<br>0.2 |
|           | 0.1        | 0.1 | 0.3 | 0.3 | 0.2               |

3) Comprehensive evaluation

|     | $\begin{bmatrix} B_1 \end{bmatrix}$ |   | $W_1R_1$  |   | 0.16 | 0.23 | 0.25 | 0.17 | 0.20                 |  |
|-----|-------------------------------------|---|-----------|---|------|------|------|------|----------------------|--|
| R = | $B_2$                               | = | $W_2 R_2$ | = | 0.24 | 0.23 | 0.15 | 0.24 | 0.20<br>0.15<br>0.16 |  |
|     | $\begin{bmatrix} B_3 \end{bmatrix}$ |   | $W_2 R_2$ |   | 0.20 | 0.14 | 0.28 | 0.22 | 0.16                 |  |

 $B = WR = (0.20\ 0.20\ 0.23\ 0.21\ 0.17)$ 

Carry out five-level FCE of the above results and gain the valuation result of community sport development level as follows:

$$BV = (0.20\ 0.20\ 0.23\ 0.21\ 0.17) \begin{pmatrix} 5\\4\\3\\2\\1 \end{pmatrix} = 3.05$$

The sport development of this urban community is 3.05, above general and below good. This analysis indicates sport development of urban community in Hunan province has large development space. This is mainly because the infrastructure and service level remain improving; resident participation awareness remains improving; resident health is at a low level. Thus, the community sport development needs urgent enhancement. The sport input and education should be highly valued by leadership organization of the community.

#### **5** Conclusions and suggestions

#### **5.1 CONCLUSIONS**

This paper designs evaluation index system which can comprehensively reflect community sport service level,

#### References

- Ali Bahrami, Cihan H. Dagli 1993 From fuzzy input requirements to crisp design *The International Journal of Advanced Manufacturing Technology* 8(1) 52-60
- [2] Dimitriyadis Irini, Kahraman Cengiz 2012 A Primer in Understanding Retirement Planning with Fuzzy Mathematics. *Journal of Multiple-Valued Logic and Soft Computing* 18(3 - 4) 267-289
- [3] Harrison J P, Hudson J A 2010 Incorporating Parameter Variability in Rock Mechanics Analyses: Fuzzy Mathematics Applied to Underground Rock Spalling Rock Mechanics and Rock Engineering 43(2) 219-224
- [4] Jiang Weiguo, Deng Lei, Chen Luyao 2009 Risk assessment and validation of flood disaster based on fuzzy mathematics. *Progress* in Natural Science 19(10) 1419-25
- [5] Liang Junxiong 2003 Study on urban community sport construction. *China Sport Science and Technology* 39(9) 23-25
- [6] Qian Wenjun 2010 Construction of community sport construction index system *Journal of Nanyang Normal University* 9(3) 72-7

applies FCE to evaluate sport development level of an urban community and provides the evaluation process.

Evaluation system for sport service level of urban community contains 3 second-level indexes and 11 third-level indexes.

This paper adopts FCE to construct FCE mathematical model for public services of community sport and implements empirical research by taking urban community in Hunan province as example. The results show community sport development level of Hunan province is above average and remains further improving.

#### **5.2 SUGGESTIONS**

To establish and perfect public service performance evaluation system for community sport as soon as possible according to the requirement for community sport service construction put forward by modern social and economic development by taking evaluation as the means and improvement of public services of community sport as the objective;

In order to enhance service-oriented government construction. The government should position the role accurately in public services of community sport, specify the responsibility and improve service level.

To regard service as the common goal, standardize and perfect public service system of community sport, construct community sport public service convenient for people, favourable to people and friendly to people based on all residents and improve health level and life quality of residents through diversified public sport services.

#### Acknowledgments

This work was supported by A Project of National Social Science Fund [13CTY009], A Project of Hunan Provincial Education Department (12C0134) and A Project of Hunan Provincial Sport Bureau [KT12-030].

- [7] Salim Labiod, Thierry Marie Guerra 2009 Anytime measures for top- k algorithms on exact and fuzzy data sets *The VLDB Journal* 18(2) 407-27
- [8] Xia Chongde, Chen Po, Yin Ying 2007 Study on comprehensive evaluation system for sustainable development of competitive sports. *Journal of Beijing Sport University* 30(11) 1564-7
- Xiao Linpeng 2007 Concept and theoretical analysis of public sport service *Journal of Tianjin Institute of Physical Education* 22(2) 97-101
- [10] Xinping Wu 2012 The Contract and Project Quality Management System Based on Fuzzy Mathematics Methods JDCTA, 6(14) 77 -85
- [11]Yin Daiyin, Zhang Xiaoran, Wang Xueyan 2013 Application of Fuzzy Analysis Mathematics Method on Optimizing Fracturing Well in Polymer Flooding Period International Journal of Applied Mathematics and Statistics 42(12) 463-73.
- [12] Yi-Fei Chen, Xiao-Lin Qin, Liang Liu, Bo-Han Li 2012 Fuzzy Distance-Based Range Queries over Uncertain Moving Objects Journal of Computer Science and Technology 27(2) 376-96

#### COMPUTER MODELLING & NEW TECHNOLOGIES 2014 18(11) 600-604

#### Lin Zhengmei, Yao Jiwei

- [13] Kim Y M, Choi J C, Kim J H, Kim C 2002 Development of a System for Progressive Working of an Electric Product by Using Fuzzy Set Theory. *The International Journal of Advanced Manufacturing Technology* 20(10) 765-79
- [14]Yi Yin 2013 A Fuzzy Comprehensive Evaluation Model for Channel Selection in Telecom Industry International Journal of Applied Mathematics and Statistics 39(9) 196-204
- [15]Zhang Hongya 2001 On title, feature and function of community sport *Sports and Science* 22(2) 25-30
  [16]Zsolt Csaba Johanyák 2010 Student Evaluation Based On Fuzzy
- Rule Interpolation International Journal of Artificial Intelligence 5(A10) 37-55

### Authors

#### Zhengmei Lin, born on July 1, 1973, China



University studies: majored in Sports Education, won the Bachelor Degree of Science in Education from Fujian Normal University in June 1997, and acquired Master of Science in Education from Fujian Normal University in December 2006. Scientific interests: the science of physical culture and sports

**Experience:** In July 1997, Zhengmei Lin served as a teacher in Department of Physical Education and Sport Science, Fuqing Branch of Fujian Normal University. In september 2012, he made paper Application of Factor Analysis and Pareto Analysis for the Value of College Students Regarding Playing Basketball-a Periodical which named International Journal of Digital Content Technology and its Applications. His previous research area is College sports education.



#### Jiwei Yao, born on September 1, 1976, China

University studies: majored in Sports Education, won the Master Degree of Education from Guangxi Normal University in China in June 2004, and acquired Doctor of Science in Education from Fujian Normal University in June 2013. Scientific interests: the science of physical culture and sports

**Experience:** In July 2004, Jiwei Yao served as a teacher in the Physical Education Department of Hunan University of Science and Technology. In September 2012, he made paper A VEC-Model-Based Sports Public Service Analysis-a Periodical which named International Journal of Digital Content Technology and its Applications. His previous research area is College sports education.